

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,912	12/16/2003	Seung-Chul Choi	040021-0306769	3859	
	7590 01/19/200 VINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/735,912	CHOI, SEUNG-CHUL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrie R. Lund	1763		

	Jeffrie R. Lund	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS 7. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will mot be entered b			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause ·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amondmo	ent cancoling the		
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-13</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	A 10 / /			
		LOUNT LA			
		Jeffrie R. Lund ' Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are directed to the newly amended claims. Basceri et al teaches moving the holder during the deposition process to adjust the composition of the deposited layer (see paragraphs 0052-0053).